Assessing, Preventing, and Overcoming Reading Difficulties

A professional learning series presented by David A. Kilpatrick, Ph.D.

Module 11 Session 4

Sponsored by the Exceptional Student Services Unit (ESSU) and created in collaboration with Specific Learning Disability Specialists Jill Marshall and Veronica Fiedler



COLORADO Department of Education

Module 11: Effective Interventions for Reading Difficulties

Session 4: Highly Effective Interventions for Reading Difficulties

Objective:

Through engagement in this series of 13 on-demand webinars, participants will understand the current research, implications, and the essential elements necessary for assessing, preventing, and overcoming reading difficulties.





Assessing, Preventing, and Overcoming Reading Difficulties

Webinar Series Modules

- **Module 1:** Reading Research and the Research to Practice Gap
- **Module 2:** Current Approaches to Reading Instruction: Why Many Learners Still Struggle
- Module 3: The Simple View of Reading
- Module 4: Word-Level Reading
- Module 5: Reading Comprehension
- **Module 6:** Introduction to Reading Assessment; Assessing Phonological Skills
- Module 7: Assessing Phonics Skills

Module 8: Assessing Word Identification and Reading Fluency

Module 9: Assessing Reading Comprehension and Related Skills

Module 10: Effective Approaches for Preventing Reading Difficulties

MODULE 11: Effective Interventions for Reading Difficulties

Module 12: Case Examples of Reading Disabilities

Module 13: Specific Learning Disability Identification



Module 11: Effective Interventions for Reading Difficulties

11.1 How Do We Determine What is Effective?
11.2 Popular Interventions With Minimal to Modest Results
11.3 The Phonological Proficiency Intervention Continuum
11.4 Highly Effective Interventions for Reading Difficulties



Learning Intentions



Module 11 Session 4: Highly Effective Intervention for Reading Difficulties

Participants will be able to:

- Describe the three key elements found in the most highly successful word-level reading intervention studies
- Explain why these key elements promote maximum success



Reviewing Intervention Outcomes

- In the research, there appears to be three outcome levels based on standard score gains on normed word identification tests
 - *Minimal:* 0 to 6 standard score points (usually 3-4)
 - *Moderate:* 6 to 9 standard score points (usually 6-7)
 - *Highly successful:* 12 to 25 standard score points (usually 14-17)
- In the previous session, the degree of phonemic training was suggested to be responsible for these differences in outcomes



Possible Reasons for Differing Outcomes

- Many reviews of research have shown, contrary to our intuitions, that the following factors display little or no consistent impact on intervention outcomes in word-reading intervention studies
 - Socioeconomic status (SES) (small but consistent impact)
 - Age of students (small but consistent impact)
 - Length of intervention (no consistent impact)
 - Severity of the problem (no consistent impact)
 - Small group size (i.e., 1:1 vs. 1:3 or 1:4) (no consistent impact)



Considering the Differing Outcomes

- This is all good news because we cannot change the SES of our students, their beginning severity or age. And 1:1 instruction and very lengthy interventions are expensive.
- What appears to account for the outcome differences?
- Instruction!
- That is something we can control



Minimal Outcome Interventions

- Mostly 2-4 standard score point gains
- Gains often lost at follow up
- Studies fall into two groups: those using phonics instruction and those that do not
- None of these studies did formalized intervention for phonemic awareness
- All did some form of reading practice



Moderate Outcome Interventions

- Mostly 6-7 standard score point gains
- Gains often weaker at follow up
- All of these studies used systematic phonics instruction
- All did some form of reading practice
- All trained the basic phonemic awareness skills of segmenting and/or blending
 - This represents skills that match the development of typical readers at the end of first grade



Highly Successful Outcome Interventions

- Mostly 14-17 standard score point gains
- Gains maintained or even stronger at follow up
 - The study that prompted Tier 3 of RTI showed further gains at follow up
- All of these studies used systematic phonics instruction
- All did some form of reading practice
 - Ranged from 5% to 50% of instructional time
- All trained phonemic skills via phonemic manipulation activities
 - Six "programs" used in the literature
 - Three commercially available, three experimenter designed
 - All six had equivalent results



Highly Successful Intervention and Phonemic Proficiency

- None of these studies was directly based on orthographic learning research (Ehri's or Share's theories)
- None attempted to directly address phonemic proficiency
- Yet we can infer that they trained phonemic proficiency
 - Reading improvement
 - Direct experience with that type of training
- We need research to strengthen this inference
 - At minimum, we should do "monkey see, monkey do"
- It appears the outcome continuum in the intervention research is consistent with the word learning theories of Ehri & Share



The "Formula" for Effective Word-Reading Intervention

The three key elements in the studies with the most highly successful outcomes are:

- 1) Train phonemic skills to the point of automaticity using phoneme manipulation activities
- 2) Teach letter-sound relationships and basic phonic patterns explicitly and systematically
 - All studies with moderate and highly effective outcomes did this
- 3) Provide opportunities for reading real text (paragraph reading)
 - This is the only way for students to get exposed to new words and orthographically map them once they have acquired the skills to do so



Why This "Formula" is Effective

- We need further research to tie together the word learning findings (how we remember the words we read – Ehri, Share) and the word reading intervention findings
- Tentatively, we can infer that these effective interventions supplied students with the cognitive/linguistic tools they needed to sound out and remember the words they read
 - Phonemic proficiency, letter-sound proficiency, and exposure to new words
- This "formula" currently represents best practice in intervention for word-level reading difficulties
 - Remove one element and we should expect lesser results



Summary: Module 11 Session 4

- The studies with the most highly effective results yield about a standard deviation of improvement (14-17 standard score point gains) in word identification
- ✓ These gains are maintained over time
- The studies with the most highly successful outcomes had three key elements: intensive training of phoneme manipulation, phonics instruction, and reading practice
- These three key elements all dovetail with the word learning theories of Ehri and Share
- They currently represent "best practice"





Reflect and Connect:

Identify the three key elements that lead to the most highly successful outcomes and explain why they are effective.

Wrap Up

What was your biggest takeaway?

What questions do you still have?

Up Next

Module 12.1 Case Examples of Reading Difficulties





Please visit the CDE Specific Learning Disability Website for more information:

https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/SD-SLD

